





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/016,610	10/30/2001	Richard McGowan	SC0251WD	6598	
7:	590 07/23/2003				
Motorola, Inc. Austin Intellectual Property Law Section 7700 West Parmer Lane			EXAMINER		
			BRATLIE, STEVEN A		
Austin, TX 78129			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3652	3652	
			DATE MAILED: 07/23/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## BEST AVAILABLE COP

## Application No. Office Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address— **Period for Reply** A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). **Status** □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_ ☐ This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** \_\_\_\_\_ is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s). is/are rejected. is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) Claim(s)are subject to restriction or election requirement. **Application Papers** ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on\_\_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to by the Examiner. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). ☐ All ☐ Some\* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)\_ ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). \*Certified copies not received:\_ Attachment(s) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). \_\_\_ ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Other

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97) Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 10/016,610

Art Unit: 3652

- 1. 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, requires the specification to be written in "full, clear, concise, and exact terms." The specification is replete with terms which are not clear, concise and exact. The specification should be revised carefully in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Examples of some unclear, inexact or verbose terms used in the specification are: "track 500", "means 500", "track 585".
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Bonora et al or Conboy et al in view of Beaver II, et al, Shimoyashiro et al and White et al.

Either Bonora et al (Fig. 2 element 16) or Conboy et al (elements 22a-22d)

disclose buffers feeding process tools. Either lack a disclosure of slot feeding from the buffers. Beaver II et al and Shimoyashiro et al disclose a buffer with wafers mounted in slots. It would have been obvious to a mechanic with ordinary skill in the art at the time

Application/Control Number: 10/016,610

Art Unit: 3652

the invention was made to substitute such feeding of wafers. The motivation is the known use of equivalents. White et al discloses the use of a gas flow (Figs. 5, 6, 7, 9, 13, 14), claims 6 and 14.

- 5. The remaining references are cited to show substantially similar structure.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Bratlie whose telephone number is (703) 308-2669. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:30 to 5:00. Friday is the examiner's day off.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4177.

Bratlie/kn July 18, 2003

STEVEN A. BRATLIE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Steven a. Bratlie